



**COUNCIL OF
THE EUROPEAN UNION**

Brussels, 21 November 2007

**Interinstitutional File:
2006/0276 (CNS)**

15522/07

LIMITE

**PROCIV 207
JAI 616
COTER 88
ENER 296
TRANS 378
TELECOM 159
ATO 158
ECOFIN 484
ENV 648
SAN 219
CHIMIE 39
RECH 388
DENLEG 117
RELEX 900**

"I/A" ITEM NOTE

from : General Secretariat

to : Permanent Representatives Committee/Council

No. prev. doc. : 14935/1/07 PROCIV 195 JAI 575 COTER 80 ENER 271 TRANS 356
TELECOM 138 ATO 152 ECOFIN 441 ENV 603 SAN 199 CHIMIE 38 RECH
354 DENLEG 109 RELEX 836

No. Cion prop. : 16933/06 PROCIV 273 JAI 725 COTER 64 ENER 323 TRANS 345
TELECOM 133 ATO 174 ECOFIN 472 ENV 713 SAN 270 CHIMIE 43
RECH 365 DENLEG 61 RELEX 929 + ADD 1 + ADD 2

Subject : Proposal for a Directive of the Council on the identification and designation of
European Critical Infrastructure and the assessment of the need to improve their
protection

- Progress report on work on a European Programme for Critical
Infrastructure Protection
-

1. The Permanent Representatives Committee and Council will find, in the Annex, a progress report on work on a European Programme for Critical Infrastructure Protection by the Working Party on Civil Protection.

2. It is recommended that, under I/A-items of their agendas, the Permanent Representatives Committee propose that Council take note of this progress report.
-

**PROGRESS REPORT ON WORK ON
A EUROPEAN PROGRAMME FOR CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROTECTION**

1. Following the Madrid terrorist attack, the European Council of June 2004 had asked for the preparation of an overall strategy to enhance the protection of critical infrastructures¹. Following the London terrorist attack, the Council in December 2005 called on the Commission to submit a proposal in this area while laying down some general principles to be taken into account in future work². It is the purpose of this report to take stock of the progress achieved since then and to give indications on the way ahead.
2. After extensive consultations with Member States, industry and other stakeholders, the Commission issued in December 2006 the *Communication on a European Programme for Critical Infrastructure Protection (EPCIP)*³ which sets out an overall policy approach and framework for EPCIP. As one element of this framework, the Commission also submitted a *Proposal for a Directive on the identification and designation of European Critical Infrastructure and the assessment of the need to improve their protection*⁴. In February 2007, the Commission in addition issued a *Communication on Protecting Europe's Critical Energy and Transport Infrastructure*⁵.

¹ 10679/2/04 REV2, point 19

² 14689/05

³ 16932/06

⁴ 16933/06

⁵ 6315/07 EU RESTRICTED (a partly declassified version of this document is contained in 6315/07 EXT1 REV1)

3. Since then, substantial progress has been achieved. The Council has, as a first reaction to the EPCIP-Communication and proposed Directive, adopted conclusions⁶. Even though the proposed Directive had, in dealing with a new policy field on the EU level, raised difficult questions, the discussions on that Directive in the competent Working Party have enabled a number of issues to be clarified and several questions of a more technical nature were solved. The opinions of the European Parliament⁷ and the European Central Bank⁸ were examined.
4. Following discussions between delegations and the Commission, the proposal has been adapted significantly, in particular regarding the process leading to the identification and designation of European Critical Infrastructure, the implementation of the Directive and the role of the Committee. Delegations also agreed that the cross-cutting and sector-specific criteria should be annexed to the proposed Directive and not be adopted through Comitology procedure; therefore, the Directive could only be adopted once agreement has been reached on the cross-cutting criteria as well as, at least, on the specific criteria applying to the transport and energy sectors. In this context, the Commission has been invited to submit as soon as possible concrete proposals for the cross-cutting and sector-specific criteria. The Commission has already presented its ideas on the abovementioned criteria as well as on the sector specific criteria for the information and technology sector. The current state of negotiations is described in greater detail in 14915/2/07.
5. However, it should be noted that, while recognising the added-value of EU-cooperation on Critical Infrastructure Protection, DE, followed by SE, requested clarification of the relationship between existing sector-specific instruments and the proposed Directive and have entered an overall reservation on the proposed Directive. DE has submitted suggestions for modifications to the Commission's proposal⁹. CZ has also entered an overall reservation but does not see these suggestions as the only possible alternative. DK/MT/SE/UK have noted that some elements of the approach proposed by DE deserve further consideration.

⁶ 7743/07

⁷ The provisional version of the opinion of the EP is contained in doc. P6_TA-PROV (2007)0325

⁸ 8987/07

⁹ 14282/07

6. As to the way ahead, there is commitment to achieve the necessary consensus on this new policy field and strive for adoption of an instrument without undue delay, if possible under the next Presidency. In order to achieve this objective, the Commission has been invited to continue to provide updated information on the technical background to its proposals and the EPCIP-Communication, *inter alia* by organising informal meetings with experts from the Member States and, in order to avoid any duplication, by analysing existing legislative documents in this field. Moreover, it is the intention to present the state of play on implementation of the Action Plan contained in the EPCIP-Communication.

7. Finally, in response to requests from several third countries, the Presidency, together with the Commission, has invited delegations from the EFTA countries, Russia, the United States and Canada to an informal update on the state of play of EU work on EPCIP without engaging in any kind of formalized cooperation at this stage; this they will continue to do, inviting also other third countries, in particular neighbouring countries.
